## Is Sunday the

## Christian Sabbath

Amos 8:11 "Behold, the days come, saith the Lord GOD, that I wil send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the LORD:
As prophecied, most Christians refuse to open Bibles today to verify what their pastors say. In this age of convenience they have been conditioned to trust the pastors without question. This prophecied "lukewarm" mindset, as Revelation 3:16 calls it, has given rise to false preachers all around the world. These wolves in sheep's clothing can easily lie to their congregations knowing with absolute
confidence that the majority of their victims will never check up on them. As for the small number of Christians that do investigate their false message using the Bible, they are quickly kicked out of the churches so as to prevent the truth from spreading. To keep those remaining in the church happy, they now preach prosperity messages on how to gain wealth, amusing sermons, and even rock music mixed with Gospel lyrics to keep the people entertained. As prophecied, they then use false doctrines that all the world agree with to make their flock feel they are safe and doing the right thing with the thought that since everyone else believes this way it
right. Case in point, one of the oldest and most successfully evangelized false doctrines has to be the so called Sunday sabbath In this tract we will look at the verses they claim are saying the Sabbath has been changed from the seventh day to the first day of the week.
CLAIM \#1... Acts 20:7 "And upon the first [dayl of the week, when the disciples came together to break bread, Paul preached unto them, ready to depart on the morrow; and continued his speech until midnight."

This meeting was held at night. If you read on you also find in the next verse it mentions the "many lights in the upper chamber." Late in verse 11 it also states after they ate, Paul "talked a long while, even till break of day." The question then is, are they saying this a
church service because they broke bread, and since it was on the "first day" it must mean the Sabbath was changed? Problem with that thought is, in Acts $2: 46$ it says that they normally continued "...daily ...breaking bread from house to house." Since they define this is a church service, this must be the format the church must emulate today. If Acts $20: 7$ is the definitive proof we need to validate Sabbath being changed from the seventh day to the first day of the week, then all Sunday keeping churches must..

- Hold church at NIGHT
- Hold church EVERY NIGHT
- Hold church TILL THE BREAK OF DAY

CLAIM \#2... I Corinthians 16:2 "Upon the first [day] of the week let every one of you lay by him in store, as [God] hath prospered him, that there be no gatherings when I come

There is absolutely no reference whatsoever of a public meeting, or church service mentioned here for when Paul arrives. Some declare "tithes." But if you read past the verse they give out of context you find the word "liberality" is actually used to describe this offering in verse \#3 of that same chapter. That word defined is "monetary gift" in Strong's Bible Concordance. (\#5485) Also notice Paul is asking for that to be given from what "God hath prospered" in the passage given to sanction Sunday as sabbath. I ask, is this normal tithing practices of a church service to give from what God has prospered which is now stored in savings? Is not Luke 18:12 rather plain wherein it says, "I give tithes of all that I possess."

Biblical truth is, it's not a church service because first and foremost it's never declared as such. Nor is it tithing that Paul is gathering,
which for some reason they claim makes it a church service. Paul which for some reason they claim makes it a church service. Paul was gathering what we call "love offerings" today. Finally, ift the entire passage is read in context it's discovered the passage is actually
about Paul stopping by on his way to Jerusalem to pick up financial relief for the Christians in financial distress in Jerusalem. (See Romans 15:26, \& Acts 11:26-30 which also confirm this as fact)

CLAIM \#3... Revelation 1:10 "I was in the Spirit on the Lord's day, and heard behind me a great voice, as of a trumpe
Some use this verse to declare Sunday is now Sabbath simply because John uses the term "the Lord's day." Truth is, the Scriptures already define the seventh day Sabbath as the Lord's day thousands of years prior in Isaiah $58: 13$ wherein we find the Lord calling, "..the $\frac{\text { sabbath, } . . . m y ~ h o l y ~ d a y ; " ~ q u i t e ~ b l u n t l y . ~ E v e n ~ J e s u s ~ H i m s e l f ~ s t a t e d ~ i n ~}{\text { the New }}$ man is Lord even of the Sababath day."
Many forget the very first thing recorded in the Bible itself is work being done on Sunday in Genesis $1: 1-5$. God in point of fact commands all men to work upon the first day of the week in the heart of the Ten Commandments in Exodus 20:8-11. He specifically says in verse nine of that Commandment that, "Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work:" Is it wrong for us to obey God? Did not the apostles state in Acts $5: 29$ that, "...we ought to obey God rather then 35:2, Leviticus 23:3, Deuteronomy 5:13, Ezekiel 46:1 and Luke 13:14 as well.
'...as a solar festival, Sunday was the sacred day of Mithra; and it is interesting to notice that since Mithra was addressed, as Dominus, 'Lord," Sunday must have been 'the Lord's Day' long before Christian
times." - The Paganism in Our Christianity, Arthur Weigll 1928 , 136

The term "The Lord's day" was first coined by John in the book of Revelation for tho Pagans of old used that term to describe their "lord's day" as well. When Constantine demanded all Christians keep Sunday holy in 321 AD, he also called it the "lord's day" at that time. The warped history being preached by pastors today, wherein they changed wha "Sunday" proves the Vatican was involved in this prophecied end time agenda. For they have admitted time and time again the following for all the world to see.

The [Cathoiic] Church $\mathbf{l o o k}$ the pagan philosophy and made il the buckler of faith against the heathen. She took the pagan Roma martyrs; so it stands to this day. She took the pagan Sunday and hour in Persia and other lands. . Hence the Church would seem say, 'Keep that old pagan name [Sunday]. It shall remain consecrated, sanctified.' And thus the pagan Sunday, dedicated to Balder, became the Christian Sunday, sacred to Jesus"--William L Gildea, "Paschale Gaudium," in The Catholic World, 58, March, 1894, p. 809 [A Roman Catholic weekly].
As prophecied by Daniel $7: 25$, this church has most assuredly tried to change the "times and laws" of the Creator God

CLAIM \#4... Mark 2:23-28 "And it came to pass, that he went through the corn fields on the sabbath day; and his disciples began, as they went, to pluck the ears of corn. And the Pharisees said unto him, Behold, why do they on the sabbath day that which is not
lawful? And he said unto them, Have ye never read what David when he had need, and was an hungred, he, and they that were with him? How he went into the house of God in the days of Abiathar the high priest, and did eat the shewbread, which is not lawful to eat but for the priests, and gave also to them which were with him? And he said unto them, The sabbath was made for man, and not man for the

Some have recently startad uing thi pase to say the Sabbath is no longer valid because Jesus broke it, or disregarded it when He no longer valid because Jesus broke it, or disregarded it when He was walking among us. First of all, nowhere in this passage does it
say Jesus abolished or broke the Sabbath. What it does say here is the Pharisees assumed Jesus and the apostles were breaking the commandment by picking corn to eat. Yet Jesus proves with Scriptural facts regarding King David, whom the Jews respected, that He and His apostles were not breaking Sabbath at all. Jesus clearly corrected the false teachings of the Pharisees here. If it was ok for ating bread that had been consecrated and set apart for holy use then it was perfectly ok for the disciples of Christ to satisfy their hunger by plucking the corn on Sabbath day as well. Both David and the Apostles were in God's employment. Basic reality dictates the temple priests, and even pastors today, perform greater labors on each Sabbath as they do God's work, do they not? The same type of labor in secular business would in fact be considered sinful. However, the work of the priests was in the service of God. The labors they performed each Sabbath pointed to the redeeming powe
of Christ. Their labor was in perfect harmony with the object of the Sabbath day so as to get the work done that brought souls into the truth. But now, Christ Himself had come, and was walking among hem. As all Christians will agree, the disciples, were employed by God to do a holy and sanctified work. They were merely doing that which was necessary for the achievement of their Heavenly appointed work on Sabbath day. Jesus confirmed this truth by stating He is "Lord of the Sabbath." Seeing how His apostles followed and emulated Him, this proves they are employed to do His work and as men employed by God doesn't make it true.

Jesus or His apostles didn't break the Sabbath here at all. Biblical nuth is, "Sin is the transgression of the law" according to 1 John 3.4 . Commandment \#4 concerns the Sabbath day. To say Jesus broke Sabbath, is to say Jesus sinned by breaking God's Law. This is exactly what the Pharisees are trying to declare here because the hated Him and His message. Yet as Christians we know Christ never sinned. Truth is, if He did commit sin, He would never have been that spotless Lamb of God, and Saviour to you and me. Jesus proved in Mark chapter two that the Pharisees were in error regarding a si they invented about plucking corn on Sabbath day for Gospel dors. Yes, th wablat be those hat harvested corn for a living mployed as farmers. They wert simply hungry men employed of employe
By the way, for those who assume it is ok to break Sabbath by using his passage, you are in reality trusting the word of a non-believing Christ Himselff in other words thase that believe the Jews were correct in their assumptions, and ignore how Jesus defended the abbath truth, and corrected the lying Pharisees, are in fact taking the word of the Pharisees as truth over Christ. As we all know, the Pharisees deny Christ as Lord to this day. Who then is telling the Truth? The ones who know not the Word? Or the one who wrote the Word Himself?
CLAIM \#5... Colossians 2:16-17 "Let no man therefore judge you in meat, or in drink, or in respect of an holyday, or of the new moon, or of the sabbath days:

Simple truth here is, the "sabbaths" mentioned here are not the weekly Sabbath of the Lord. Please read Leviticus 23:23-38 and you nnual sabbaths, or what most today refer to as "feast days" that acknowledged certain events that would be fulfilled in the future when Christ came as Messiah. This is why Paul referred to them as a shadow of things to come" in this passage. Make special note that after it lists the annual sabbaths in Leviticus $23: 23-37$ that it says in Verse 38 that these annual sabbaths are "besides the Sabbath of the ord." In Mathew 5:17 Jesus said, "Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destrov, but to way back to creation. That is why the $4^{\text {th }}$ Commandment starts with the word "Remember" when speaking of Sabbath. Keeping the Sabbath is a day to worship the Creator of all things. You simply cannot "fulfill' an event that has already passed. However, when those annual sabbaths were instituted thousands of years ago, Jesus ater arrived to fulfill all that the annual sabbaths were pointing to. The children of God were symbolically keeping the feast days back hen as a way to look forward to the prophecied arrival of Messiah when He would actually fulifil them in reality. For example, even the things to come," which was fulfilled when "...the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world" (John 1:29) actually died on the cross. This is why Jesus said "tis is finished"' just before He died John 19:30 period. This means they can in no way be
Sabbath when 5 are kept within 15 days

By the way, this is not the only reason the sabbaths in Colossians
2:14-17 can't possibly be weekly Sabbaths. When you read Leviticus 2:14-17 can't possibly be weekly Sabbaths. When you read Leviticus $23: 23-38$ you will notice it talks about the meat and drink offerings mentioned in the same way they are spoken of in Colossians 2:14-
17. If these annual sabbaths are the same as the Sabbath mentione in the Law of God, then I must ask all that use this passage to preach Sunday as the Christian Sabbath to please share with me where in the Ten Commandments they see "meat, drink, holydays, or new moons" as we see Colossians chapter 2 speaking of. I must also ask if they do believe this verse preaches such a thing, why don't their Sunday keeping churches honor "meat, drink, holydays, or new moons" today?

The Law of God is not the same as the law of Moses that Leviticus and Colossians are obviously referring to here. Moses law, or "commandments contained in ordinances" ended at the cross as Ephesians 2:15 proved. And God's Law stands forever as Luke 16:17 proclaimed.
Hebrews 9:1,10 says, "Then verily the first covenant had also ordinances of divine service, and a worldly sanctuary. Which stood only in meats and drinks, and divers washings, and carnal ordinances, imposed on them until the time of reformation.
CLAIM \#6... Galatians 4:9-11, "But now, after that ye have known God, or rather are known of God, how turn ye again to the weak and
beggarly elements, whereunto ye desire again to be in bondage? Ye observe days, and months, and times, and years. I am afraid of you, lest I have bestowed upon you labour in vain.
Some believe this is speaking of Sabbaths because the word "days" is used in a way that suggests religious observance. However, again read in context we find that Paul knew how the Galatians were at one time Pagans, and therefore used to ritualistic forms of worship. The Jewish converts to Christianity, like those in the book of Colossians, wanted to continue on with their feast days. Their legalistic form of worship appealed to the Galatians because of their Pagan roots, and had to be dealt with by Paul because he hoped to prevent what we see happening today. Like today's feast day movement, they sought to mix the annual Sabbaths into New Testament church worship. This carnal law was only "until the time of reformation" as we learned eclipse the atoning work of Christ on Calvary just as the enemy of souls planned. By observing these "days and months" they were declaring their own abilities, rather then Christ's sacrifice suitable for salvation. But the truth is it's "Not of works, lest any man should boast." (Ephesians 2:9) This is also why Paul was concerned his preaching to them was a "abour in vain" because they were showing signs of desiring to "be in bondage" to the annual sabbaths Jesus already declared were "finished" at the cross. If you notice, this is the
same method of twisting the false preachers used with Colossians 2:16-17. Therefore the same method of revelation can be used to expose it. Where I ask in the Commandments does it speak of "days,
months, times, \& years" as we see it doing in Galatians? Since it doesn't, this passage can't possibly be speaking of the Sabbath.

## CLAIM \#7... Romans $14: 5^{\text {" One }}$ man esteemeth one day above another: another esteemeth every day alike. Let every man be fully

 persuaded in his own mind.Again, nowhere in this passage does it say we should keep Sunday as Sabbath. This is again speaking of the annual holy-days that some of the Jews still felt compelled to keep. Most Gentile converts the Jews had difficulty in giving up their life long traditions. A good way to compare this with today's Christian church is where some keep Pagan holidays like Christmas and Easter, where others prefer not partake in such celebrations and merrymaking. If they truly see no wrong in this act, we are not to judge them. We can try to warn them of course, but that's where our job ends. That's why it clearly states, "Let every man be fully persuaded in his own mind" here. We you read all of Romans 14 , you will discover the true topic and find it was again taken completely out of context
God never rested on the first day of the week. He never blessed it, nor did His Son Jesus ever acknowledge the first day of the week in any way shape or form. The Apostles never rested on it either. In rest on the first day of the week in any historic reference, or bible Scripture known to man. If those that believe such things would have researched their own church history they would have found that in 321AD Constantine made the Pagan Sunday sabbath law of the land. Historic fact is, all Christians kept Sabbath for hundreds years after Jesus ascended. Think about that fact for a moment. Had you known that, you would have known before your pastors spoke that every verse ever used to suggest the Apostles were part of, or because it was never suggested to be changed until 321 AD . hundreds of years after they died. When 321AD came, only those Christians that feared Roman rule and failed to trust God kept Sunday as sabbath. The false preachers of today would have you beieve the Sabbath was changed during the lime the Bible was penned. Historic, and biblical fact is, they lied.

You cannot find one single line of Scripture from Genesis to Revelation that proclaims Sunday to be blessed, sanctified, made holy, or even enforced! Think about that for a moment. NO LAW was ever made by the God of creation to enforce the first day of the week. Romans 4:15, says "...where no law is, there is no transgression." You cannot commit sin unless there is a law being broken. Would not our Lord and Saviour at least given us one simple verse to proclaim should we as His followers consider something holy that He never considered to be holy? The reality here is that it is simply called. "The first day of the week" in all Scripture from Genesis to Revelation. One more thing should be mentioned here. Many do claim that the day Jesus rose from the dead is the reason all should keep Sund holy. However, the Word of God is quite clear about how we as is not as all the Sunday preachers suggest by going to church on Sunday. It is done by baptism.

Romans $6: 3-5$, , Know ye not, that so many of us as were baptized
into Jesus Christ were baptized into his death? Therefore we are into Jesus Christ were bapized into his deanh. Therefore we are up rom the dead by the glory of the Father, even so we also should likeness of his death, we shall be also in the likeness of his resurrection:"

In other words, when you go under the water, you symbolize and acknowledge His death and burial. When you come out of the wate you symbolize and acknowledge His resurrection. Merely stepping fact if H is dest and resurrection was really the reason for giing ochurch on Sunday, why is it no one goes to church every Friday? sn't that the day He died?

## A $\$ 10,000.00$ ChaLLENG

Some years ago I posted on the ministry website a challenge for all pastors, preachers and even bible studying Christians to find a verse week to the first day of the week. If they were able to send the verse, promised (and still do) to send them $\$ 10,000.00$ cash! Since then I have received quite a few attempts from people using the verses shared in this tract. Not a single pastor, preacher, or bible teacher have ever been able to find that verse. What most people are unaware of is, all of the religious leaders have already agreed in writing there is no such verse in the Bible wherein says God abbath is nothing more then a "TRADITION OF MEN " (Mark 7:8)

Think about this as well. The Lord thy God worked on that day when He started creation. He even worked on this day when He rose Jesus from the dead 2000 years ago. Biblical and historic fact is, He Even the New Testament Christian women who went to anoint the body of Jesus, stopped in their tracks and decided not to anoint Him because the Sabbath was drawing near. Luke $23: 56$ tells us that those women, "rested the Sabbath day according to the commandment"
Without getting too technical. It's easy to see that the Lord's day is in act the $7^{\text {th }}$ day. This same God that said in Malachi 3:6 "For I [am] Lhe Lord, I change not... "also said in Psalms $89: 34$ regarding this out of my lips."The Sabbath is important because "...the LORD made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the LORD blessed the sabbath day, and :11) And I Chronicles 17:27 says "...it shal be blessed for ever.
fyour church uses the scriptures shared here to say Sunday is the Sabbath, then the Lord plainly says in Revelation 18:4 that you should "...Come out of her, my people, that ye be not partakers of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues."

After all is said and done, if you just read this entire tract, you know hat you just discovered Biblical evidence that absolutely every single verse every used to claim Sunday is the Sabbath was done so in never said. Some will ask why have all the churches done such an
evil act as this? In Daniel $7: 25$ we find it prophecied that a beast would "think to change" the Sabbath so as to get "all the world" to he end of the World. Look around. Do you see it fulfilled? All Christian churches now keep Sunday as Sabbath, even though now know for a fact there is not a single verse in the Christian Bible o warrant such change as this. In case you missed the hint as to ho it is that changed the Sabbath earlier. Perhaps the following quotes from the Roman Catholic Vatican will help.
Of course the Catholic Church claims that the change (Saturday Sabbath to Sunday) was her act... And the act is a MARK of her of Cardinal Gibbons. Nov. 11, 1895
"Sunday is our MARK of authority. . .the church is above the Bible, Catholic Record of London, Ontario Sept 1,1923.
'The Bible says, Remember that thou keep holy the Sabbath day. The Catholic church says, No! By my divine power I abolish the Sabbath day, and command you to keep the first day of the week ar History of the Sabbath, p. 802

Had she not such power, she could not have done that in which a modern religionists agree with her; --she could not have substituted he observance of Sunday the first day of the week, for the Scintural " Catechism "On the Obedience Due to the Church" "chap 2 mprimatur, John Cardinal McCloskey, archbishop of New York.)
Is not every Christian obliged to sanctify Sunday and to abstain on at day from unnecessary servie ead the Bible from Genesis to Revelation, and you will not find a single line authorizing the sanctification of Sunday. The Scriptures nforce the religious observance of Saturday a day which we never anctify." -James Cardinal Gibbons, The Faith of Our Fathers (191 ed.), pp. 72, 73.
"Is not yet too late for Protestants to redeem themselves. Will they do in?... will they indeed take the written word only, the Scripture alone, as their sole authority and their sole standard? Or will they still hoid following the autherity of the C Cthlic church and wear the SIGN of her authority? Will they keep the Sabbath of the Lord, the seventin d, accoraing to Scripture? Or will hey keep the Sunday according the tradition of the Catholic church, - Rome's Challenge, (Why do rotestants keep Sunday?) page 31
lease feel free to contact this ministry for additional historic and biblical information regarding end time prophetic events.
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